Theoretical Study of the Highly Diastereoselective 1,3-Dipolar Cycloaddition of 1,4-Dihydropyridine-Containing Azomethine Ylides to [60]Fullerene (Prato’s Reaction)
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The 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of azomethine ylides bearing the biologically active 1,4-dihydropyridine ring to C60 was investigated by means of quantum mechanical calculations at the semiempirical AM1 and DFT (B3LYP/6-31G*) methods. The presence of two chiral centers and one chiral axis in the resulting fulleropyrrolidines leads to four possible [6,6] cycloaddition products. Formation of atropoisomers has also been considered. The transition-state structures were computed for the four different cycloaddition pathways to find out the lowest activation energy stereoisomer. In all cases, a frequency analysis and an IRC calculation were carried out to fully characterize the located transition-state structures. AM1 results and single-point energy calculations at the B3LYP/6-31G*//AM1 level for the four transition-state structures yield activation energies values below 5 kcal/mol.

Introduction

The surge of interest in fullerenes chemistry has extensively been focused on the functionalization of C60, the most abundant and representative of fullerenes. The chemical derivatization of fullerenes still represents an important challenge in current chemical research, and among the wide variety of organofullerenes synthesized by simple and accessible synthetic routes, the family of fulleropyrrolidines has played a prominent role provided that they retain the basic fullerene properties and are commonly soluble in organic solvents.1

The electron-deficient character of C60 stems from its low-lying LUMO, and therefore, all embedded olefins ([6−6]-ring junctions) in the fullerene cage behave as strong electrophiles, which prompted the development of numerous efficient cycloadditions.2,3 Among them, one of the most used and successful reactions has been the 1,3

1 Tagmatarchis, N.; Prato, M. Synlett 2003, 768.
stereogenic centers in compound 3 (see Scheme 1). Stereoisomer RS was found to be the most stable one.8 To gain a better understanding of this complex cycloaddition process, in this work the reaction paths as well as the transition state structures affording different reaction products were analyzed by semiempirical (AM1) and ab initio methods (B3LYP/6-31G*).

In this regard, Huisgen's pioneering works have provided a general description of the 1,3-DC reactions in which the 1,3-dipole, represented by a zwitterionic species, reacts with a dipolarophile molecule containing a multiple bond to form five-membered heterocyclic systems.10 The minor effect of solvent polarity on the reaction rate, together with the high regioselectivity observed, provided support for a concerted reaction pathway.11 For this reason, in the present work only the concerted mechanism has been analyzed.

Method of Calculation

Full geometry optimizations without symmetry restrictions have been carried out using the AM1 semiempirical method12 implemented in Gaussian 98.13 All zero-gradient structures have been characterized by a vibrational analysis. For all the transition-state structures, semiempirical B3LYP/6-31G* energy calculations have been performed using the AM1-optimized geometry with help of the Gaussian 98 program. The transition-state structures were found using the QST3 method implemented in Gaussian 98; all the transition state structures have only one imaginary frequency which is interpreted as negative vibrational mode (physically the approach of the reaction centers).17

![FIGURE 1. Four possible regioisomers from a 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of azomethine ylides to C60. Only the [6,6] closed regioisomer is formed.](image-url)

Results and Discussion

The starting geometries of the reactants were optimized by means of molecular mechanics with the aid of the Hyperchem\textsuperscript{18} program. The structure of the C\textsubscript{60} moiety was obtained from the Hyperchem database.\textsuperscript{18} Figure 2 shows the minimum energy conformation of the azomethine ylide (2). The presence of one stereogenic center (C9) and two prochiral faces (re and si) could lead to, at least, four different stereoisomers.

The atoms C5, N1, and C2 in the intermediate 2 (see Figure 2) form a prochiral plane with two prochiral faces. Thus, the configuration of the chiral center at C2 in the final product 3 (see Scheme 1) will depend on the reacting prochiral face. Also, the C2–C8 bond could be a chiral axis if the rotation around this bond is restricted in 3. It is not obvious whether the rotation across the C2–C8 bond connecting the pyrrolidine and the 1,4-dihydropyridine rings is restricted by the presence of the chlorine atom on C7 and the phenyl substituent on C9, which may interact with the fullerene cage. If this barrier is high enough, then the bond is a chiral axis (denoted by Sa or Ra) and additional stereoisomers could exist, leading at least to eight different stereoisomers.

To establish whether the C2–C8 bond is a chiral axis or not, we have calculated the rotational barrier around this bond for the R and S configurations of the stereogenic center at C2. Figure 3 shows the conformational study carried out by using B3LYP/6-31G*//AM1 calculations.

The predicted energy barrier to transform A\textsubscript{1} (R\textsubscript{Sa}S configuration) into C\textsubscript{1} (R\textsubscript{Ra}S configuration) across B is 28.9 kcal/mol, and the energy necessary to transform C\textsubscript{1} (R\textsubscript{Ra}S configuration) into A\textsubscript{1} (R\textsubscript{Sa}S configuration) across D is 40.4 kcal/mol for the R configuration at C2. For the S configuration at C2 the predicted barrier is 23.8 kcal/mol for the transformation of A\textsubscript{2} (S\textsubscript{Sa}S configuration) to C\textsubscript{2} (S\textsubscript{Ra}S configuration) across B, and from C\textsubscript{2} to A\textsubscript{4} this barrier is 20.1 kcal/mol. This energy barrier values fall into the range that corresponds to atropoisomers.\textsuperscript{19} It is important to mention that studies about atropoisomerism involving fulleropyrrolidines have not been previously reported.

The results show that the C2–C8 bond is a chiral axis and A and C represent the different configurations of the chiral axis. Thus, A corresponds to the Sa and C to the Ra axis configuration of the axis. Therefore, three chiral elements are present in the molecule, and accordingly, eight stereoisomers should exist, four of them as enantiomeric pairs. Table 1 shows the symmetry elements involved and the heats of formation corresponding to each pair of enantiomers. The classification of the chiral axis was made following the Cahn–Ingold–Prelog rules (CIP).\textsuperscript{20} Figure 4 shows the line drawings showing the configuration of the stereogenic centers as well as the relationship existing between the different stereoisomers.

Figure 5 shows the minimum energy conformation found for four of the eight stereoisomers; only the Sa configuration is shown for clarity.

Once the relationship existing between the possible products was established, it was important to predict which of them would be most likely formed. To accomplish this task, the mechanism of the 1,3-DC was studied. Initially, to test the reliability of our approach, we calculated the energy barriers for a smaller and simpler model system (ethylene and the azomethine ylide leading to a pyrrolidine ring) at different theory levels.

\[ 	ext{Figure 2. Minimum energy conformation (AM1) of the azomethine ylide (2) showing symmetry elements and the numbering scheme.} \]

\[ 	ext{Figure 3. Rotational energy profile across the C3–C2–C8–C7 dihedral angle at the B3LYP/6-31G*//AM1 level, for the S (solid line) and for the R (dashed line) configurations at C2 in compound 3.} \]
TABLE 1. AM1 Heats of Formation (kcal/mol) and Symmetry Elements of Stereoisomers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>C2*</th>
<th>C2-C8*</th>
<th>C9*</th>
<th>ΔHf</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Ra</td>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
<td>924.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Sa</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td>928.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Sa</td>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
<td>923.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Ra</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td>919.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and the results are shown in Table 2. Taking the QCISD/6-31G* values as reference and considering the large size of the system studied, it is clearly observed that the B3LYP/6-31G*//AM1 methodology offers good results at a reasonably computational cost.

Based on the obtained results and considering that a wide variety of theoretical studies have proven the reliability of this approach for 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition reactions, and that is well-established that AM1 provides satisfactory results for studying the 1,3-DC and related cycloadditions, we decided to use this method for the study of the reaction between azomethine ylide 2 and C60.

Figure 6 shows the four transition-state structures that lead to four different stereoisomers calculated using the quadratic synchronous transit method (QST) implemented in Gaussian 98. In each case, three structures were considered: the starting geometry, the final geometry, and an initial or probable transition-state structure, also known as the QST3 method. In all cases, a vibrational analysis was carried out and the results clearly


FIGURE 5. Optimized geometry (AM1) for the four stereoisomers calculated; note that each structure has an enantiomeric pair, the configuration Sa was chosen for clarity.
hydrogen atom at the C2 (H2) may interact in some cases also the reason for the hindered rotation (Figure 8). The dihydropyridine ring with the fullerene cage, this being on C7 and the phenyl substituent on C9 of the 1,4-
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ers found connect the expected reactants and products. The results clearly show that the transition-state struc-

tures for the four studied transition states.

The close bond distances and angles in the transition-

state structures (see Figure 6 and Table 3) can be accounted for by the similarity between these structures. The difference in energy is due to the different spatial arrangement of the atoms in the four transition states (as shown in Figure 7). Figure 7 shows the IRC study in mass-weighted internal coordinates that was carried out using the AM1 semiempirical method. The force constants were calculated at the first point. The geometry was optimized at each point along the fifteen points in each direction of the reaction coordinate. The step size was 0.1 amu$^{-1/2}$ bohr. The results clearly show that the transition-state structures found connect the expected reactants and products.

As expected, the found geometries for the different transition states were very similar since the reaction centers are the same for all cases. The energy differences observed between them can be accounted for by steric and/or electronic repulsions between the chlorine atom on C7 and the phenyl substituent on C9 of the 1,4-dihydropyridine ring with the fullerene cage, this being also the reason for the hindered rotation (Figure 8). The hydrogen atom at the C2 (H2) may interact in some cases with the chlorine atom, depending on the reacting prochiral face, resulting in a lowering of the transition-state energy. In addition, the favorable release of energy resulting from the saturation of one fullerene double bond (about 8 kcal/mol for each carbon atom) was also applied in all cases. Figure 8 shows the expanded transition-state structure of the most stable TS1 (SSaS) transition state and the numbering scheme used. Table 3 collects some of the most significant geometrical and electronic parameters for the four studied transition states.

The distance between the hydrogen atom in C2 (H2) and the chlorine atom seems to be the major reason for the energetic difference between the S and R configurations of the C2 stereogenic center (Figure 2). The distance between the C2 (H2) and chlorine atom is shorter: 2.52 Å for TS3 and 2.60 Å for TS1. However, the configuration of the second stereogenic center is important since the R configuration of this center brings the phenyl substituent near the fullerene cage and this raises the energy of the TS. The fact that the TS1 (SSaS) has the lower activation energy can be accounted for by the proximity of H2 and Cl atoms and the favorable electrostatic interaction between them. When we analyze the TS3 (SSaR) again, the same interaction is also present. However, the configuration of the second stereogenic center (R in this case) brings the phenyl substituent near to the fullerene cage and, to avoid this interaction, the structure is more strained, resulting in the most energetic of the four possible transition states.

\begin{table}
\centering
\caption{Energy Barriers and Reaction Energies for the 1,3-DC of Azomethine Ylide to Ethylene at Different Theory Levels (Energies Are Given in kcal/mol)}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
method & $\Delta E^a$ & $\Delta E_r$ & method & $\Delta E^a$ & $\Delta E_r$
\hline
AM1$^a$ & 5.7 & -70.3 & B3LYP/6-31G$^*$ & 1.2 & -68.6
HF/6-31G$^*/$AM1 & 11.7 & -92.9 & B3LYP/6-311++G$^*$/AM1 & 3.9 & -59.2
HF/6-31G$^*$ & 10.8 & -95.9 & QCISD/6-31G$^*$ & 3.1 & -77.8
B3LYP/6-31G$^*/$AM1 & 1.3 & -64.6 & CCSD(T)/6-311++G$^*/$QCISD/6-31G$^*$ & -0.1 & -71.8
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\textsuperscript{a} For the AM1 method, the energies are enthalpies at 298 K.

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{FIGURE_6.pdf}
\caption{Transition-state structures leading to the four different stereoisomers.}
\end{figure}

\begin{table}
\centering
\caption{Energy Barriers and Reaction Energies for the 1,3-DC of Azomethine Ylide to Ethylene at Different Theory Levels (Energies Are Given in kcal/mol)}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
method & $\Delta E^a$ & $\Delta E_r$ & method & $\Delta E^a$ & $\Delta E_r$
\hline
AM1$^a$ & 5.7 & -70.3 & B3LYP/6-31G$^*$ & 1.2 & -68.6
HF/6-31G$^*/$AM1 & 11.7 & -92.9 & B3LYP/6-311++G$^*$/AM1 & 3.9 & -59.2
HF/6-31G$^*$ & 10.8 & -95.9 & QCISD/6-31G$^*$ & 3.1 & -77.8
B3LYP/6-31G$^*/$AM1 & 1.3 & -64.6 & CCSD(T)/6-311++G$^*/$QCISD/6-31G$^*$ & -0.1 & -71.8
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\textsuperscript{a} For the AM1 method, the energies are enthalpies at 298 K.
studied transition states. In the TS4 (RSaS) and TS2 (RSaR) transition states, both have the same activation energy and it is not clear which will be the most favored path (see Table 3). The \( R \) configuration of the second stereogenic center can interact again with the fullerene cage in TS2, making the TS4 somehow more favored. The values of the reaction energies (between \(-32.3\) and \(-36.7\) kcal/mol) show that the retrocycloaddition is not likely to occur, and therefore, a thermodynamic control of the reaction can be ruled out. The low activation energies and the high barrier for the retrocycloaddition point to a kinetically controlled reaction in which one of the products will be formed in a very high ratio in comparison to the other products. This is in agreement with the experimental spectroscopic data that show the formation of stereoisomers in a 95/5 ratio.\(^8\) The most abundant stereoisomer should have a S\( \text{Sa} \)S configuration. It is important to note that the AM1 results follow the same trend found at the higher level ab initio calculations. This fact gives support to the semiempirical methods as a useful tool for treating large systems with reasonable good results.

In summary, Figure 9 depicts the reaction energy profile calculated for the four cycloadditions analyzed at the B3LYP/6-31G*//AM1 level. As one may expect from the fact that we have studied a thermally allowed cycloaddition,\(^23\) the analysis of the energy profile shows that these cycloaddition reactions are quite exothermic and have low activation energies, in agreement with experimental results.\(^4\)

### Conclusions

The activation energy for the four calculated transition-state structures is around 4 kcal/mol and the difference between them is very low, which can be accounted for by the similarity of these structures. In all cases, frequency analysis and IRC were performed to fully characterize the four transition states for the 1,3-DC...
reactions. The energetic differences found for the transition states are steric and/or electronic hindrance caused by the size of the organic addend attached to the 1,3-dipole. However, the formation of the SSaS stereoisomer is favored over the other products, which seems to be a kinetically controlled reaction. Formation of other stereoisomers is less likely to occur and the RSaS stereoisomer is slightly more favorable because of steric factors. These findings are in agreement with the spectroscopic data that show for the modeled reaction the presence of a product constituted by two stereoisomers in a 95/5 ratio.
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